

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION I

5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3912

January 18, 2011

Wanda Santiago, Regional Hearing Clerk
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I
5 Post Office Square - Suite 100 (Mail Code ORA18-1)
Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3912

Re: Harbour Industries, LLC, Docket No. CAA-01-2011-0016

Dear Ms. Santiago:

Please file the enclosed Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing in Docket No. CAA-01-2011-0016. I enclose an extra copy.

I certify that this day I have served a copy by certified mail, return receipt requested on:

Dennis Dodd, President Harbour Industries, LLC 4744 Shelburne Road Shelburne, VT 05482

Sincerely,

Thomas T. Olivier

Senior Enforcement Counsel

Encl.

cc: Dennis Dodd

THE PROPERTY OF STREET OF STREET STREET

and all their and the second of the second of

s in a set of open or one or the first of the set of execution in the

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 1

IN THE MATTER OF	) Docket No. CAA-01-2011-0016
	RECEIVED
Harbour Industries, LLC	) LIVED
4744 Shelburne Road	JAN 10
Shelburne, VT 05482	JAN 1 8 2010
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Office of a EPA one
Proceeding under Section	Office of Regional Hearing Clerk
113 of the Clean Air Act	) "I'd Clerk

# COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. The United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") issues this Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing pursuant to Section 113(d) of the Clean Air Act ("CAA" or "Act"), 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d), to Harbour Industries, LLC ("Harbour" or "Respondent"). The Complaint notifies Respondent that EPA intends to assess penalties for violations of a federally-enforceable permit issued under the Vermont state implementation plan. The Notice of Opportunity for Hearing describes Respondent's option to file an Answer to the Complaint and to request a formal hearing. In support of its Complaint, EPA alleges the following:
- 2. Sections 113(a) and (d) of the CAA provide for the assessment of penalties for violations of any provision of an applicable state implementation plan ("SIP") or permit. The State of Vermont has adopted a SIP within the meaning of Section 113(a)(1) of the Act. The Vermont SIP, which has been approved by EPA under Section 110 of the Act, 42 U.S.C.

Page 1
Harbour Industries, LLC, Docket No. CAA-01-2011-0016

- § 7410, contains various federally-approved portions of the Vermont Air Pollution Control Regulations including Chapter V, Section 5-501, Review of Construction or Modification of Air Contaminant Sources.
- Complainant has provided notice to Respondent of EPA's findings of violations
  described in this section, at least 30 days prior to the issuance of an administrative
  penalty order under Section 113(d) of the Act.
- 4. Although the violations alleged commenced more than 12 months prior to the initiation of this action, EPA has determined jointly with the Department of Justice that the matter is appropriate for administrative penalty action under Section 113(d)(1) of the CAA.

#### **FACTUAL BASIS**

- 5. Harbour operates a facility in Shelburne, Vermont that manufactures various kinds of insulated wire. In its manufacturing processes, Harbour generates emissions of volatile organic compounds ("VOCs"). To control its VOC emissions, Harbour operates a gasfired regenerative thermal oxidizer ("RTO").
- 6. On June 11, 2007, the Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation ("VTDEC") issued Harbour an air permit. This permit, known as "Air Pollution Control Permit to Construct and Operate #AOP-06-051," was issued under authority of the federally-approved SIP version of Chapter V, Section 5-501, and is enforceable by EPA under Section 113 of the CAA.
- 7. Under permit condition #5, a determination of compliance with the permit's 50 tons per year (tpy) VOC limit must be based on procedures submitted within 30 days of permit issuance for review and approval by the VTDEC.

errorge to the second of the s

- 8. Under permit condition #12, prior to initial start-up of the system or within 180 days of permit issuance, Harbour was required to develop and implement an operation and maintenance plan for its RTO. This plan is required to be approved in writing by the VTDEC, and must be present at the facility at all times and made available for inspection upon request.
- 9. On January 27, 2010, EPA conducted an inspection at Harbour's Shelburne facility.

#### **FINDINGS**

- 10. Harbour failed to timely submit procedures to the VTDEC to establish compliance with the permit's 50 tpy VOC limit. From June 2007 to October 2010, Harbour failed to base its compliance with the permit's 50 tpy VOC limit on procedures approved by the VTDEC. Accordingly, Harbour violated condition #5 of the permit.
- 11. Harbour failed to timely develop and implement an operation and maintenance plan for its RTO prior to initial start-up of the system or within 180 days of permit issuance. In addition, Harbour failed to obtain approval from the VTDEC for this plan. Harbour has failed to maintain an approved operation and maintenance plan at the facility and make it available for inspection. Accordingly, Harbour violated and continues to violate condition #12 of the permit.

#### PROPOSED CIVIL PENALTY

Under Section 113(d)(1)(B) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413, and the Civil Monetary Inflation Rule (see Pub. L. 104-134 and 40 C.F.R. Part 19.4 (Table 1)), EPA may assess a civil administrative penalty of up to \$37,500 per day per violation of the

and the second s

CAA. Based on the allegations above, the EPA proposes to assess the Respondent a civil administrative penalty of \$123,840.

In determining the amount of the penalty to be assessed under Section 113 of the CAA, EPA must take into consideration the size of the violator's business, the economic impact of the penalty on the business, the violator's full compliance history and good faith efforts to comply, the duration of the violations, payment by the violator of penalties previously assessed for the same violations, the economic benefit of the violations, the seriousness of the violations, and such other factors as justice may require. See Section 113(e), 42 U.S.C. § 7413(e).

To implement the provisions of Section 113(e) of the CAA, EPA has calculated the proposed civil penalty using the "Clean Air Act Stationary Source Penalty Policy," dated October 25, 1991 ("Penalty Policy"), a copy of which is enclosed with this Complaint. The Penalty Policy assigns penalty components reflecting the seriousness or the gravity of the violations and the size of the violator's business. The Penalty Policy also provides for a penalty component based on the estimated economic benefit Respondent derived from the violations. Adjustments to a proposed penalty are considered in light of the violator's degree of willfulness or negligence in committing the violations, its degree of cooperation with the EPA, any good faith efforts to comply, and any pertinent compliance history or previous penalty payments for the same violation. See Attachment 1 for a brief explanation of the calculation of the proposed penalty under the Penalty Policy.

Page 4

No penalty adjustments are proposed to reflect the violator's compliance history, good faith efforts to comply, or payment of penalties previously assessed for the same violations, since these factors do not appear applicable. Although Respondent may have derived an economic benefit operating in violation of permit conditions, the amount is not readily quantifiable and so is not included as a penalty component. Finally, EPA has calculated the proposed penalty based, in part, on its current knowledge of Respondent's size and financial condition. The proposed penalty may be adjusted if Respondent properly documents the size of its business, the economic impact of the penalty on its business, or other defenses relevant to the appropriate amount of the penalty.

If the Respondent pays the proposed penalty in full within thirty (30) days after receiving this Complaint, the Respondent need not file an Answer. If the Respondent wishes to resolve this matter without having to file an Answer but needs additional time in which to do so, the Respondent may file a written statement with the Regional Hearing Clerk at the address below within thirty (30) days of receiving this Complaint. The written statement must specify that the Respondent agrees to pay the penalty within sixty (60) days of receiving this Complaint. Failure to make such payment within sixty (60) days may subject the Respondent to a default action. See 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(a).

Payment of the proposed penalty of \$123,840 may be made by mailing a bank, cashiers, or certified check payable to the "Treasurer, United States of America" to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Fines and Penalties Cincinnati Finance Center P.O. Box 979077 St. Louis, MO 63197-9000

Page 5 Harbour Industries, LLC, Docket No. CAA-01-2011-0016 Copies of the check must also be mailed to the Regional Hearing Clerk and to Thomas T.

Olivier, Senior Enforcement Counsel, at the addresses provided below. The penalty
check must reference the title of this proceeding ("In the Matter of Harbour Industries,
LLC") and EPA Docket No. CAA-01-2011-0016.

The Respondent has a continuing obligation to comply with the CAA, with the terms and conditions of any applicable permits, and with any order issued under Section .

113 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413.

### OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING AND FILE AN ANSWER

In accordance with Section 113 of the CAA and 40 C.F.R. § 22.14, Respondent has the right to request a formal hearing to contest any material fact alleged in this Complaint, or to contest the appropriateness of the proposed penalty. To request a hearing, Respondent must file a written Answer within thirty (30) days of Respondent's receipt of this Complaint. Respondent shall send the Answer to the Regional Hearing Clerk at the following address:

Regional Hearing Clerk
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1
5 Post Office Square – Suite 100 (Mail Code ORA18-1)
Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3912

Respondent shall serve copies of the Answer and any subsequent pleadings which Respondent files in this action to the following address:

Thomas T. Olivier, Senior Enforcement Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1 5 Post Office Square – Suite 100 (Mail Code OES04-3) Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3912

Page 6

Any such hearing would be conducted in accordance with the Consolidated Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. Part 22 (copy enclosed). See 40 C.F.R. § 22.15 for the required contents of the Answer.

#### DEFAULT ORDER

Respondent may be found to be in default pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.17 if the Respondent fails to file a timely Answer to the Complaint. For the purposes of this action only, default by Respondent would constitute an admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of Respondent's right to contest such factual allegations. Any penalty assessed in the default order would be due and payable by Respondent without further proceedings thirty (30) days after the default order became final under 40 C.F.R. § 22.27(c).

#### SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

Respondent may confer informally with the EPA concerning the alleged violations. Such a conference provides Respondent with an opportunity to provide whatever additional information may be relevant to the disposition of this matter. Any settlement would be made final by the issuance of a written Consent Agreement and Final Order by the Regional Judicial Officer of EPA Region I.

Please note that a request for an informal settlement conference does not extend the period for filing a written Answer. To explore the possibility of settlement in this matter, Respondent should contact Abdi Mohamoud, Environmental Engineer, at (617) 918-1858, or have legal counsel contact Thomas T. Olivier, Senior Enforcement Counsel, at (617) 918-1737. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.5(c)(4), Thomas T. Olivier is authorized to

Page 7
Harbour Industries, LLC, Docket No. CAA-01-2011-0016

## receive service on behalf of EPA.

Sugar Stroker

Susan Studlien, Director

Office of Environmental Stewardship

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1

Five Post Office Square, Suite 100

Boston, MA 02109-3912

Attachment 1

Harbour Industries

Proposed Penalty \$123,840

		Total  Duration Months of Months of Importance of Violation Violation Violation BEFORE AFTER Regulatory (months) 111209 Scheme	\$15,000
		Months of Violation AFTER 1/12/09	22
		Months of Months of Violation Violation BEFORE AFTER 1/12/09	18
		Total Duration of Violation (months)	40
		End Date of Violation	10/22/10
		Effective Begin Date End Date of Violation Violation	7/11/07
\$20,000 \$8,326 \$123,840	nalty \$123,840 Violation	Effective Begin Date of Violation Violation	7/11/07
Violator Size § <u>20,000</u> Violator Size Inflation § <u>8,326</u> Total Grav#y § <u>5123,840</u>	Final Penalty \$123,840 Violation	Violation Classification	Reporting
		Regulation(s)	#AOP-06-051 Permit Condition #5
		Violation Description	Failure to timely submit procedures to VT DEC #AOP-06-051 to establish compliance with the 50 tpy VOC Permit imit.
			<u> </u>

Count

Commenta
Permi was issued 6/11/07. Harbour failed to comply
with Permi Condition #5, in response to NOV Harbour
developed a VOC emissions tracking spreadshed and
submitted it VOT DEC. VT DEC approved the
procedures on 10/22/10.

\$27,161

7,161

\$20,000

(\$35,000)

\$40,000

Inflation-Adjusted Total

Pre-Inflation Total

Actual or Possible Harm (includes level of violation and sensitivity to environment I toxicity of pollutant)

Inflation Total

Permit was issued 6/11/07. Harbour failed to comply with Permit Condition #12. On 10/1/10 Harbour submitted a draft operation and operation and inmaliterance plan to VT DEC for approval. Treat as daily violation.

\$68,353

18,353

\$50,000

\$35,000

\$15,000

21

ह

10/1/10

12/11/07

12/11/07

#AOP-06-051 Permit Condition #12

Failure to timely develop and implement an operation and maintenance plan for its RTO

Gravity \$95,514

uration: Treat as a one-day violation

	633	
	5	
	90	
	9	
	0	
Ġ	S	
	38	
	Ē	
١	5	
	ਹੋ	
	≥	
	39 was 28.95%. After 1/12/09 the new CAA inflation rate	
	2	
	6	
	8	
	Ξ	
ì	7	
	2	
	5	
	25	
	92	
	92	
	92	
	3	
	99	
	8	
	5	
	04 and 1/12/09	
	75	
	ě	
	5	
	ë	
	5	
	8	
	8	
	9	
	12	
ŀ	8	
	爱	
	Ξ	
	2	
	Ŗ.	
	×	
	3	
	Ξ	
į.	4	
	8	
ľ	8	

In 2008, Ha	
Violator Size: worth as 1/ approximati inflation.	In 2008, Harbour had gross sales of \$80 million. The Penalty Policy uses new worth. Estimating net worth as 1/10th of gross sales (as proposed in Appendix X of Penalty Policy) yields net worth of approximatilely \$8 million. The corresponding Penalty Policy size of violator component is \$20,000 plus inflation.
Economic Not calculated.	lated.